1	Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165	William Christopher Carmody
1	Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027	(admitted pro hac vice)
2	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	Shawn J. Rabin (admitted pro hac vice)
2	44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor	Steven M. Shepard (admitted pro hac vice)
3	San Francisco, CA 94104	Alexander Frawley (admitted pro hac vice)
4	Tel.: (415) 293-6800	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1301 Avenue of the Americas,
-	mmao@bsfllp.com brichardson@bsfllp.com	32 nd Floor
5	orienardson@osmp.com	New York, NY 10019
6	James Lee (admitted pro hac vice)	Tel.: (212) 336-8330
6	Rossana Baeza (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
7	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	srabin@susmangodfrey.com
	100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor	sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
8	Miami, FL 33131	afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
9	Tel.: (305) 539-8400	
,	jlee@bsfllp.com	John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice)
10	rbaeza@bsfllp.com	Ryan J. McGee (admitted pro hac vice)
		Michael F. Ram CA Bar No. 104805
11	Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891	MORGAN & MORGAN
12	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400	Tampa, FL 33602
13	Los Angeles, CA. 90067	Tel.: (813) 223-5505
1 /	Tel: (310) 789-3100 Fax: (310) 789-3150	jyanchunis@forthepeople.com rmcgee@forthepeople.com
14	abonn@susmangodfrey.com	mram@forthepeople.com
15	doomice, susmangouncy.com	mam@formepeopie.com
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	277. 2 2 3 4 DD 2 277. YVVV V V V V DV V DV	I
18	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	G N 520 02664 LIV
19	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	Case No.: 5:20-cv-03664-LHK
1)	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
20	individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated,	GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS
2.1	similarly situated,	COUNTS SIX AND SEVEN OF
21	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED
22	Tidilitiis,	COMPLAINT
	Vs.	
23		The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
2.4	GOOGLE LLC,	Courtroom 8 – 4th Floor
24	*	Date: September 30, 2021
25	Defendant.	Time: 1:30 p.m.
26		
27		

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER Before the Court is Google LLC's ("Google") Motion to Dismiss Counts Six and Seven of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint ("Motion to Dismiss"). Having considered the parties' papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, argument by counsel, and all other matters properly considered by this Court, the Court finds there is good cause to DENY Google's Motion to Dismiss. THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that for the reasons stated in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, the Motion to Dismiss is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: Honorable Lucy H. Koh United States District Judge [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING GOOGLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS